



VALE COLUMBIA CENTER
ON SUSTAINABLE INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT
A JOINT CENTER OF COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL AND
THE EARTH INSTITUTE AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Columbia FDI Profiles

Country profiles of inward and outward foreign direct investment
issued by the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

April 25, 2011

Editor-in-Chief: [Karl P. Sauvant](#)

Editor: [Padma Mallampally](#)

Associate Editor: [Ken Davies](#)

Managing Editor: [Ana-Maria Poveda-Garces](#)

Inward FDI in Malaysia and its policy context

By

Rajah Rasiah and Chandran Govindaraju *

Malaysia is still perceived as an important destination for foreign direct investment (FDI). Deregulation by the Malaysian government in 1986 with a new round of Pioneer status tax holidays, tax allowances for expansion projects, liberal rules for firms operating in free trade zones (FTZs), and tax exemptions are encouraging stronger FDI inflows (IFDI). IFDI flows reached a peak in 1988-1993 as export-oriented foreign multinational enterprises (MNEs) relocated manufacturing production operations to Malaysia to benefit from cheap labor, government incentives and liberal conditions for manufacturing FDI. After 1996, due to the Asian financial crisis in 1997-1998, IFDI flows into Malaysia decreased and subsequently recorded the lowest level in 2001 as a result of the world trade recession. Following steady growth in 2002-2007, IFDI in Malaysia fell dramatically in 2008 and 2009 due to the global economic crisis. However, a strong resumption in the first quarter of 2010 and government efforts, including continued liberalization of manufacturing and services, the Government Transformation Programme, promoting new key economic areas, and the active role of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), contributed to an increase in inward FDI flows in the second quarter of 2010.

Trends and developments

Country-level developments

** Rajah Rasiah [rajah@um.edu.my] is Professor of Technology and Innovation Policy at University of Malaya. He also holds the Khazanah Nasional Chair of Regulatory Studies; Chandran Govindaraju [vgrchan@gmail.com] is a senior lecturer at the Universiti Teknologi, MARA. The authors wish to thank Geoffrey Gachino, Joanne Lim and Siew Yean Tham for their helpful comments. The views expressed by the authors of this *Profile* do not necessarily reflect opinions of Columbia University, its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Profiles* is a peer-reviewed series.

Malaysia's IFDI stock grew from US\$ 53 billion in 2000 to US\$ 75 billion in 2009. It fell sharply in 2001 but resumed growth in 2002 with the expansion holding steady until 2007 before the country's IFDI stock fell again in 2008 (annex table 1). IFDI flows into Malaysia generally grew less rapidly than in comparable countries in the region, such as Singapore, Thailand and Indonesia (annex table 2). Malaysia's share of IFDI in total world IFDI flows fell from 0.7% in 2000 to 0.4% in 2009 due to the global economic crisis, among other causes. During 2006-2008, inflows were higher than in other years. The increase in FDI inflows during this period was mainly due to mergers and acquisitions (M&As) by existing MNEs, the establishment of joint ventures and other new investment activities.¹

IFDI flows into Malaysia fell sharply in 2009 as exports contracted sharply.² IFDI faced its worst contraction, 81%, in 2009, when Malaysia fell out of the top ten FDI destinations in Asia. Furthermore, Malaysia attracted the lowest IFDI flows in 2009 compared to Singapore, Thailand and Indonesia (annex table 2). Of the estimated US\$ 108 billion in private investment recorded in 2006-2010, 72% was private domestic investment and 28% was from IFDI.³ Apart from the global crisis, the overall decline in FDI inflows is attributed to two main factors.⁴ IFDI in recent years has increasingly flowed into higher value added services sectors (e.g. financial and shared services), which tend not to be located in Malaysia. The scale of investment in services is less than in manufacturing, Malaysia's main recipient sector of FDI, which is more capital-intensive. Secondly, competition among Asian countries, namely India, China, Singapore, Vietnam, and Hong Kong (China) has intensified. Singapore and Hong Kong (China) have established investment centers to attract FDI. Nevertheless, a sharp rise in IFDI flows was recorded in the first quarter of 2010, exceeding US\$1.5 billion and related to better growth prospects linked to stronger trade recovery and stronger government promotion initiatives. This suggests that the trend for the next few years will be strong again.

Manufacturing, services and oil and gas still dominated inward FDI in Malaysia during the period 2006-2010.⁵ Malaysia recorded US\$ 42 billion of cumulative net FDI inflows in 2000-2009. Manufacturing accounted for 41%, while services contributed 37% and the oil and gas sector 17% (annex table 3). Manufacturing remains the largest recipient of FDI. As PETRONAS and its partners explore and become involved in the production of oil and gas, significant investment in upstream activities has been recorded.⁶ Nevertheless, the services sector also began to receive considerable FDI inflows in this period due to active government efforts to attract FDI into it. For instance, distributive trade attracted FDI worth US\$ 350 million in 2009.⁷ There have been notable increases in financial and shared services, outsourcing and communications.⁸ The financial sector, including Islamic finance, recorded significant investment following financial liberalization. It is estimated that these sectors received US\$ 11.4 billion in 2000-2009.

¹ R. M. Zuraini, M. H. Yusoff and N. Yahya, "Foreign direct investment in Malaysia: findings of the quarterly survey of international investment and services", *Journal of Department of Statistics*, Malaysia, vol 1 (2008), pp.1-9.

² Z. A. Mahani and R. Rasiyah, *The Global Financial Crisis and the Malaysia Economy: Impact and Responses* (Kuala Lumpur: United Nations Development Programme, 2009).

³ Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysian Plan 2011-2015* (Putrajaya: Government Printers, 2010), p. 37.

⁴ Bank Negara Malaysia, *Annual Report 2009* (Kuala Lumpur: Bank Negara Malaysia, 2009), p. 36.

⁵ Zuraini, Yusoff and Yahya, op. cit., p. 37.

⁶ Bank Negara Malaysia, op. cit., p. 37.

⁷ Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysian Plan 2011-2015*, op. cit., p.127.

⁸ Ibid., p. 37.

In 2000, IFDI flows were mainly from North American economies, as well as from Japan, Germany and Northeast Asian economies. The highest inflows were from the United States, Japan, Germany, and Hong Kong (China). Inflows from the United States fell from US\$ 3,644 million in 2000 to US\$ 772 million in 2009. In contrast, IFDI from Singapore, Thailand, the Netherlands, and Australia showed an increase between 2000 and 2009 (annex table 4). Bilateral trade agreements and active government attraction of investment from Asia have played a role in attracting FDI from other Asian countries. Singapore, Indonesia, India, and China showed an increase in inflows in 2009. Since developed economies had not yet recovered from the financial crisis at that time, inflows in 2009 from most of these economies were relatively low. However, developed economies still accounted for a large share of FDI inflows to Malaysia in 2009. One exception is Singapore, which invested US\$ 1,042 million in 2009.

Unlike in the 1970s and 1980s, when giant electrical and electronics MNEs dominated inward FDI in Malaysia,⁹ the top 20 inward investors since 2000 have been in other sectors (table 5). The only major electronics component firm was Ibiden of Japan, the 11th largest of the inward investors in 2008 to Malaysia. The world's biggest iron ore producer, Vale of Brazil, announced plans in 2008 to build a billion dollar iron pellet plant in Malaysia.¹⁰

Major inward (M&As) have also taken place in Malaysia recently (annex table 5). A firm from United Arab Emirates acquired 25% of the shares in Rashid Husin Bank (RHB) worth US\$1.2 billion in 2008.¹¹ In addition, the government announced on July 31, 2010 that a high-end Republic of Korea company had bought a 72% stake in a local company worth US\$ 1 billion.¹² FDI inflows in the second quarter of 2010 show were mainly channelled into manufacturing sub-sectors, particularly electrical, electronics and petroleum-related industries.¹³ In the services sectors, the dominant sectors are finance, insurance, business services, and wholesale and retail trade.¹⁴

Malaysia is one of the key recipients of greenfield investment.¹⁵ In 2008, Malaysia recorded 209 greenfield projects;¹⁶ the announced value of top 20 amounted to US\$ 11.5 billion. The top 20 greenfield projects announced in 2008 were fairly mixed sectorally. Manufacturing accounted for seven while minerals and metals accounted for five of the 20 largest investors announced. Real estate and infrastructure accounted for four of the investors. The largest announced was by the Kuwaiti mining company, Gulf Petroleum Investment (GPI), which accounted for US\$ 5 billion. The second largest was the Spanish metals company, Acerinox, which announced investments totaling US\$ 1.5 billion (annex table 6). The inflow of investments into metals and

⁹ The flagship firms of Intel, National Semiconductor, Motorola, Hewlett Packard, Texas Instruments, Advanced Micro Devices, and Hitachi were some of the early export-oriented MNEs to relocate semiconductor assembly and test activities in Malaysia.

¹⁰ Reuter (March 13, 2008) "Vale seeks partners for pellets plant in Malaysia", Rio De Janeiro, <http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSN1329899920080313>, downloaded on October 10, 2010.

¹¹ UNCTAD, *World Investment Report: Transnational Corporations, Agricultural Production and Development* (Geneva and New York: United Nations, 2009).

¹² Malaysiakini, "MITI: Government not in denial", by Aidila Razak, July 31, 2010, available at www.malaysiakini.com/news/138861.

¹³ Bank Negara Malaysia, *Quarterly Bulletin*, Second Quarter 2010, p. 49.

¹⁴ Ibid.

¹⁵ UNCTAD, *World Investment Report 2010*, op. cit., p. 114.

¹⁶ UNCTAD, *World Investment Report*, 2009, op. cit., p. 214

pharmaceuticals is a consequence of a deliberate approach by the government to attract large investments to the former, and to promote biotechnology as a strategic industry in the country.¹⁷

Effects of the global financial crisis

Since Malaysia was much less exposed to the financial derivatives that mainly caused the 2008-2009 global financial crises, it was less hard hit than several other countries in Asia.¹⁸ However, the recession in the United States and Europe in 2009 depressed FDI inflows to Malaysia; they started to fall in the third quarter of 2008.¹⁹ In 2007, IFDI declined by roughly US\$1 billion to US\$ 7 billion and it then shrank sharply to US\$ 1 billion in 2009 (annex table 2). The decline of IFDI from traditional sources induced the Malaysian government to seek to diversify its FDI sources. Non-traditional source economies include some in the Middle East, plus China and India. For instance, State Grid Corporation of China and 1Malaysia Development Sdn have signed agreements to develop the Sarawak Corridor of Renewable Energy, while China Harbour Engineering Co is involved in the Penang Bridge projects. Chinese companies were also awarded the Gemas-Johor Baharu double track projects²⁰ Vivo Biotech Ltd of India signed a memorandum with the Malacca government to develop a research and manufacturing facility worth US\$ 133 million.²¹ In addition, to mitigate the decline, the Malaysian government is promoting new growth areas, including services and environmental technology. Initiatives to target and promote specific industries were established especially within manufacturing sectors such as biotechnology, aerospace, photonics, nanotechnology, and optics. Other initiatives include empowering the Malaysian Investment Development Authority (MIDA) to negotiate with investors directly, liberalizing the service sectors.

The government responded to the collapse in exports arising from the global financial crisis by implementing two stimulus packages in 2009 and 2010, together totalling around US\$ 20.3 billion. Public sector investment was expected to grow by 6.2% per annum over the 2006-2010 period.²² Recent FDI inflows show that the strong resumption in the first quarter of 2010 and government efforts to promote inward investment led to higher flows in the second quarter of 2010.

The policy scene

The Malaysian policy environment for IFDI in the primary and secondary sectors has generally been liberal. MITI is the main government organization undertaking the evaluation and approval of IFDI, as well as investment incentives, since the enactment of the Promotion of Investment Act of 1986. MITI's sub-organization, the Malaysian Industrial Development Authority (MIDA), is the main promotional body that has been instrumental in attracting IFDI to Malaysia. Despite the liberalization efforts under both the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) Free Trade Area (AFTA) and World Trade Organization initiatives, recent trends show a decline in

¹⁷ The fifth Prime Minister of Malaysia, Tun Datuk Abdullah Badawi, made biotechnology a strategic industry to seek new sources of growth for the country.

¹⁸ Not only was the stock market in Malaysia only slightly affected by the global financial crisis, the share of non-performing loans in total loans in the country was 2.2% in March and June 2009; see Mahani and Rasiah, *op. cit.*, p. 22.

¹⁹ *Ibid.*, *op. cit.*, pp. 13-15.

²⁰ *The Star*, "Miti looks to India and China for FDI", February 2, 2010.

²¹ *Ibid.*

²² Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysian Plan 2011-2015*, *op. cit.*, p. 38.

FDI flows into Malaysia. Apart from the global recession, reasons for the decline in FDI include rising competition for FDI, especially from other emerging markets. Malaysia's transformation from capital-intensive to knowledge-based industry, while still facing relatively weak human capital development and technological capabilities, adds to the challenge of competing for FDI inflows. Slower growth in manufacturing value added – projected to grow only at average of 2.2% per annum over the period 2006-2010²³ -- and a severe contraction in FDI inflows in 2009 have again driven the government to rethink its FDI policy.²⁴

Financial liberalization measures such as the issuance of new licenses, increased foreign equity limits and operational flexibility established in September 2009²⁵ have helped attract IFDI. Initiatives are under way to attract further FDI with a focus on high value added activities.²⁶ The ministry is currently identifying further sub-sectors in which to attract more investment. The liberalization of 27 services sub-sectors to attract more FDI inflows was done in 2009. The next round of liberalization is planned for 2011. Malaysia had signed 66 bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 61 double taxation treaties (DTTs) and 22 other international investment agreements (IIAs) by May 2010.²⁷ These measures are expected to improve FDI inflows into Malaysia. In 2009, the foreign shareholding threshold was raised from 49% to 70% for insurance companies and investment banks. Deregulation has also taken effect in the purchase of real estate by foreigners and full foreign ownership is now allowed in the wholesale segment of fund management.²⁸

The New Economic Model (NEM),²⁹ the 10th Malaysian Plan and the Economic Transformation Programme focus on the absorption of capital and knowledge-intensive activities to move the economy up to the status of a high-income economy by 2020. IFDI is an integral part of achieving this goal of graduating.³⁰ Since a lack of skills and technological capability is often cited as one of the main reasons for a slowdown in FDI inflows, the announced plans to increase the supply of human capital, innovation capability and technological upgrading are expected to revive FDI inflows. The establishment of a Talent Corporation to attract skilled and professional workers, improvements in government delivery systems and public-private partnerships, revamping the education systems and the identification of new key economic areas are expected to contribute to increase the countries attraction to foreign investors.³¹ Government efforts to promoting new key economic areas, the MITI's promotion of industrialization and a stronger macroeconomic environment contributed to increased IFDI flows in the second quarter of 2010.

²³ Malaysia, "Garis panduan penyediaan pancangan Malaysia kesepuluh, 2011-2015: Prospek ekonomi dan hala tuju strategik", mimeo, Kuala Lumpur, 2009.

²⁴ Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015*, op. cit.

²⁵ Bank Negara Malaysia, "Liberalization of the financial sector", available at <http://www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=8&pg=14&ac=1817>

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ UNCTAD. *World Investment Report 2010*, op. cit.

²⁸ Ibid., p. 77.

²⁹ Malaysia, *The New Economic Model* (Putrajaya: Government Printers, 2010).

³⁰ Ibid.

³¹ Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015*, op. cit.

Conclusions

Malaysia has had an open IFDI climate. Inward FDI has been seen as a major element in fostering economic growth and development and has remained a major component of gross fixed capital formation, though it contracted sharply in 2009. The government's planned efforts in the 10th Malaysian Plan, the NEM, the ETP, and GTP in attracting FDI flows (easing the regulatory burden, reducing corporate income tax, upgrading physical infrastructure, providing incentives), have led to better economic growth prospects and the healthy resumption of capital inflows in the first quarter of 2010. Given IFDI's impact on the economy, it will remain an important part of the Malaysian economy. The government's restructuring efforts need to include the transformation of the country's knowledge stimulating organizations – such as universities, polytechnics, R&D labs – to enable the upgrading of firms so that they can engage in high value added activities.

Additional readings

Jomo, K.S., *Southeast Asia's Misunderstood Miracle* (Boulder: Westview, 1996).

Mahani, Z.A. and R. Rasiah, *The Global Financial Crisis and the Malaysia Economy: Impact and Responses* (Kuala Lumpur: United Nations Development Program, 2009).

Malaysia, *The New Economic Model* (Putrajaya: Government Printers, 2010).

Malaysia, *The Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015* (Putrajaya: Government Printers, 2010).

Rasiah, R., *Foreign Capital and Industrialization in Malaysia* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1995).

Useful websites

Bank Negara Malaysia, , June 2010, available at <http://www.bnm.gov.my/>

National Economic Action Council, www.neac.gov.my (for information on New Economic Model and Economic Transformation Plans)

Malaysian Industrial Development Authority, information on investment policy available at http://www.mida.gov.my/en_v2/

* * * * *

Copyright © Columbia University in the City of New York. The material in this *Profile* may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: Rajah Rasiah and Chandran Govindaraju, "Inward FDI in Malaysia

and its policy context,” *Columbia FDI Profiles, April 25, 2011*. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu).
A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Ken Davies, kendavies@yahoo.com.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Dr. Karl P. Sauvant, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and The Earth Institute at Columbia University. It seeks to be a leader on issues related to foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global economy. VCC focuses on the analysis and teaching of the implications of FDI for public policy and international investment law.

Statistical annex

Annex table 1. Malaysia: inward FDI stock, 2000-2009

(US\$ billion)

Economy	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Malaysia	53	34	38	41	43	45	54	77	73	75
Memorandum: Comparator economies										
Singapore	111	117	133	145	169	195	245	303	326	344
Thailand	30	33	38	49	53	60	77	95	105	99
Indonesia	25	15	7	10	16	41	55	59	67	73

Source: UNCTAD's FDI/TNC database, available at: <http://stats.unctad.org/fdi/> and websites of national central banks

Annex table 2. Malaysia: Inward FDI flows, 2000-2009

(US\$ billion)

Economy	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Malaysia	4	0.5	3	2	5	4	6	8	7	1
Memorandum: Comparator economies										
Singapore	16	15	6	12	20	14	27	36	11	17
Thailand	3	5	3	5	6	8	9	11	8	6
Indonesia	- 4	- 3	0.2	- 0.5	2	8	5	7	9	5

Source: UNCTAD's FDI/TNC database, available at: <http://stats.unctad.org/fdi/> and websites of national central banks

Annex table 3. Malaysia: net cumulative FDI inflows,^a by sector, 2000-2009

(US\$ billion)

Sectors / industry	% of total net FDI inflows	US\$ billion^b
All sectors/industries	100	41.7
Primary		
Oil and gas	17	7.1
Secondary		
Manufacturing	41	17.1
Services	37	15.4
Others	5	2.1

Source: Bank Negara Malaysia, *Annual Report*, 2009.

^a Inflows are equity investment and purchase of real estate and loans drawdown/extended to non-residents. Excludes retained earnings.

^b Values converted using average exchange rate of 3.65 for 2000-2009.

Annex table 4. Malaysia: Geographical distribution of inward of FDI flows in Malaysia, 2000-2009

(US\$ million)

Region/economy	Inward FDI ^a	
	2000	2009
World		
Developed economies		
Europe		
Belgium	59.7	17.0
Netherlands	189.2	635.4
France	10.3	148.8
Germany	888.9	561.1
Switzerland	45.0	22.8
United Kingdom	219.7	155.8
North America		
Canada	46.1	104.1
United States	3644.5	771.9
Other developed economies		
Australia	28.2	265.8
Japan	950.8	482.2
Developing economies		
Asia and Oceania		
China, People's Republic of	1.32	23.4
Hong Kong (China)	473.2	257.0
Indonesia	13.7	105.6
India	0.79	21.9
Korea, Republic of	5.0	73.1
Singapore	459.5	1042.1
Thailand	2.9	380.1
Vietnam	0.8	182.7

Source: Bank Negara Malaysia, *Monthly Statistics Bulletin*, June 2010, available at <http://www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch>

^a Balance of payments data - refer to equity investment and purchase of real estate and loans drawdown/extended to non-residents. Excludes retained earnings. Data converted into US Dollar using 3.8 and 3.42 conversion rates.

Annex table 5. Malaysia: main M&A deals, by inward investing firm, 2007-2009

(US\$ million)

Year	Target company	Acquiror economy	Target primary SIC code description	Acquiror's name	% of shares acquired	Value
2009	Cosway(Malaysia)Sdn Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Drugs, drug proprietaries, and druggists' sundries	Berjaya Holdings(HK)Ltd	100.00	135.55
2009	Enterprise Capital Corp	Singapore	Offices of holding companies, nec	Asia Palm Oil Invest Pte Ltd	100.00	110.00
2009	PureCircle Ltd	Singapore	Industrial organic chemicals, nec	Olam International Ltd	10.00	53.61
2009	Tasek Corp Bhd	Singapore	Cement, hydraulic	Hartwell Pte Ltd	27.06	52.62
2009	AirAsia Bhd	Luxembourg	Air transportation, scheduled	Genesis Smaller Cos SICAV	5.40	51.64
2009	Undisclosed Multi-specialty	India	General medical and surgical hospitals	Narayana Hrudayalaya Pvt Ltd	100.00	40.85
2009	eCosway.com Sdn Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Retail stores, nec	Berjaya Holdings(HK)Ltd	40.00	33.20
2009	Ikea Handel-Warehouse	Unknown	Operators of nonresidential buildings	Undisclosed Acquiror	100.00	25.77
2009	Three-A Resources Bhd	Singapore	Investors, nec	Wilmar International Ltd	16.67	13.36
2009	Best Impact Ltd	Hong Kong (China)	Automobile parking	Apexwill Ltd	100.00	12.77
2009	AM SGB Sdn Bhd	Germany	Power, distribution, and specialty transformers	SGB-SMIT International GmbH	30.38	9.02
2009	Merchantrade Asia Sdn Bhd	Japan	Radiotelephone communications	Sumitomo Corp	20.00	8.33

2009	KVC Industrial Supplies	Netherlands	Electronic parts and equipment, nec	Otra Development BV	16.00	8.17
2009	Bursa Malaysia Derivatives Bhd	United States	Security and commodity exchanges	CME Group Strategic Invest LLC	25.00	5.90
2009	Paling Industries Sdn Bhd	Netherlands	Plastics plumbing fixtures	Glynwed Holding BV	40.00	4.58
2008	RHB Capital Bhd	Utd Arab Em	Investment advice	Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank PJSC	25.00	1,204.67
2008	EON Capital Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Investors, nec	Primus Pacific Partners Ltd	20.20	412.01
2008	Sin Chew Media Corp Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Books: publishing, or publishing & printing	Ming Pao Enterprise Corp Ltd	100.00	220.73
2008	Cognis Oleochemicals(M)Sdn Bhd	Thailand	Chemicals and chemical preparations, nec	PTT Chemical PCL	50.00	152.13
2008	Tamco Switchgear Malaysia Sdn	India	Switchgear,switchboard equip	Larsen & Toubro Ltd	100.00	112.17
2008	Computer Sys Advisers(M)Bhd	United States	Computer integrated systems design	Computer Sciences Corp	100.00	104.04
2008	Ranhill Utilities Bhd	Cayman Islands	Water supply	Investor Group	26.83	85.21
2008	AirAsia X	Japan	Air transportation, scheduled	Investor Group	20.00	77.33
2008	Nanyang Press Holdings Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Real estate investment trusts	Ming Pao Enterprise Corp Ltd	100.00	59.64
2008	GBD Investment Ltd	Utd Arab Em	Biological products, except diagnostic substances	Dubai Ventures Ltd	30.00	49.04
2008	KL-Kepong Cocoa Products Sdn	Switzerland	Chocolate and cocoa products	Barry Callebaut AG	60.00	48.76
2008	AmLife Insurance Bhd	United Kingdom	Life insurance	Friends Provident PLC	30.00	46.72

2008	Sitt Tatt Bhd	Seychelles	Semiconductors and related devices	Empire Holdings Ltd	82.76	35.35
2008	Chase Perdana Bhd	Seychelles	Industrial buildings and warehouses	Empire Holdings Ltd	91.25	32.08
2008	Cosway(Malaysia)Sdn Bhd	United States	Drugs, drug proprietary's, and druggists' sundries	Madison County LLC	10.00	30.16
2007	Binariang GSM Sdn Bhd	Saudi Arabia	Telephone communications, except radiotelephone	Saudi Telecommunications Co	25.00	3,049.99
2007	PPB Oil Palms Bhd	Singapore	Vegetable oil mills, nec	Wilmar International Ltd	98.99	1,124.59
2007	Bumiputra-Commerce Hldg Bhd	Japan	Banks	Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ	3.40	381.85
2007	MOX	Sweden	Industrial gases	AGA AB	54.02	368.80
2007	AMMB Holdings Bhd	Australia	Banks	ANZ Banking Group Ltd	11.40	356.84
2007	PGEO Group Sdn Bhd	Singapore	Groceries and related products, nec	Wilmar International Ltd	65.80	318.65
2007	Sabah Forest Inds Sdn Bhd	India	Wood products, nec	Investor Group	97.80	262.65
2007	Genting Sanyen Industrial	Hong Kong (China)	Paper mills	Paperbox Holdings Ltd	100.00	212.34
2007	Commerce Life Assurance Bhd	Singapore	Life insurance	Aviva Asia Pte Ltd	49.00	142.42
2007	MAS Hotels & Boutiques Sdn Bhd	Netherlands	Hotels and motels	Kingdom Langkawi BV	100.00	124.11
2007	Ireka Hotels Sdn Bhd	British Virgin Islands	Investors, nec	Newood Assets Ltd	100.00	123.65
2007	Four Seasons Hotel,Langkawi	Utd Arab Em	Hotels and motels	EHC International Ltd	100.00	115.00
2007	Scomi Oilfield Ltd	Hong Kong (China)	Investors, nec	Standard Chartered Private Eq	19.90	99.50

2007	Mivan Far East Sdn Bhd	Ireland-Rep	Residential construction, nec	Actis	-	75.00
2007	Ramatex Bhd	Hong Kong (China)	Narrow fabric and other smallwares mills	Amphoteric Capital Ltd	27.06	71.89

Source: Thomson ONE Banker; Thomson Reuters.

Annex table 6: Malaysia: main greenfield projects, by inward investing firm (announced), 2008

(US\$ million)

Investing company	Home economy	Announced value	Sector
Gulf Petroleum Investment (GPI)	Kuwait	5,000	Coal, oil and natural gas
Acerinox	Spain	1,500	Metals
BSEL Infrastructure Realty	India	940	Real estate
Sea Party Group	Taiwan Province of China	581	Food & tobacco
Tokuyama	Japan	525	Minerals
Carrefour	France	373	Food & tobacco
Vitol Group	Netherlands	300	Warehousing & storage
Malladi Drugs & Pharmaceutical	India	300	Pharmaceuticals
Tesco	UK	250	Food & tobacco
SGL Carbon	Germany	220	Metals
Merlin Entertainments Group	UK	211	Leisure & entertainment
Ibiden	Japan	194	Electronic components
Technip-Coflexip	France	184	Industrial machinery, equipment & tools

Royal Dutch Shell Plc	Netherlands	150	Coal, oil and natural gas
Mitsui Mining & Smelting	Japan	145	Metals
Procter & Gamble (P&G)	USA	141	Medical devices
Vivo Bio Tech	India	138	Biotechnology
DAMAC Holding	UAE	122	Real estate
Al-Aqeelah	Kuwait	100	Business services
Sunil Mantri Realty	India	100	Real estate
TOTAL		11,475	

Source: fDi Intelligence, a service from the Financial Times Ltd.